

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/086,886	03/04/2002	Kimiyo Banno	0171-0828P	1884
2292	7590 03/26/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			YUAN, DAH WEI D	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/086,886	BANNO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Ja</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 7 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		
S. Palent and Trademark Office			

Art Unit: 1745

PREGEL COMPOSITIONS FOR POLYMER GEL ELECTROLYTES, METHOD OF DEHYDRATING PREGEL COMPOSITIONS, SECONDARY CELL, AND ELECTRICAL DOUBLE-LAYER CAPACITOR

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/086,886

Art Unit: 1745

October 21, 2003

Detailed Action

- 1. The Applicant's amendment filed on January 28, 2004 was received. Claim 1 was amended. Claims 10-11 were added.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued on October 28, 2003.

Specification

3. The amendment filed January 28, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The recitation "...wherein the compound having a reactive double bond is a polyoxyalkylene component-bearing unsaturated compound in which a urethane group does not exist" in claim 10.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 1745

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The recitation "...wherein the compound having a reactive double bond is a polyoxyalkylene component-bearing unsaturated compound in which a urethane group does not exist" is not supported in the instant specification. If applicant believes said recitation is fully defined, it is requested that applicant indicates column and line, and/or figure with number, in the disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 1-6,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takeuchi et al. (US 6,190,805).

With respect to claims 1,2, Takeuchi et al. teach a photopolymerizable electrolyte composition comprising an electrolyte salt and a non-aqueous solvent. Specific examples of the polymer compound include a polymer of polymerizable compound which contains a poly- or oligo-carbonate group represented by the general formula (1) and a polymerizable functional group represented by the general formula (2) and/or formula (3). In one embodiment, Takeuchi et al. teach a solid polymer electrolyte film comprising said polymer compound, ethylene carbonate, ethyl methyl carbonate, LiPF₆ and 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl-diphenylphosphine oxide, wherein the total moisture content of the resultant electrolyte is 30 ppm. Therefore, it is

Art Unit: 1745

concluded that the moisture content of the polymer compound, i.e., the pregel composition, is inherently less than 100 ppm, given that both Takeuchi et al. and the present application utilize similar polymer compound and processing procedures. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature *is necessarily* present in that which is described in the reference. In re Robertson, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (1999). See Column 15, Line 7 to Column 16, Line 14; Examples 8,12,13,15.

$$= \left\{ (R^1 O)_m \bigcap_{O} \right\}_n$$
 (1)

$$CH_2 = C(R^2)CO -$$

$$CH_2 = C(R^3)C[OR^4]_xNHCO -$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

$$0$$

With respect to claims 3,4,11, Takeuchi et al. teach the polymer compound may be a homopolymer, a copolymer of two or more compounds belonging to the same category or a copolymer of at least one of the polymerizable compounds with another polymerizable

Art Unit: 1745

compound. These compounds include (meth)acrylic acid alkyl esters, urethane (meth)acrylates and acrylic acid esters and/or urethane (meth)acrylates having an oxyalkylene and/or oxyfluoroecarbon chain. See Column 15, Line 7 to Column 16, Line 14; Column 19, Lines 24-53.

With respect to claim 5, Takeuchi et al. teach the polymer compounds comprising one having a hydroxyl group and one having the formula (3) in which the urethane group is replaced with isocyanate group. See Column 16, Lines 9-65.

With respect to claim 6, Takeuchi et al. teach the use of ethyl methyl carbonate as an organic solvent (entrainer). See Example 8. Moreover, it is noted that the claim is a product-by-process claim. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F. 2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

With respect to claim 8, Takeuchi et al. teach the polymer electrolyte can be used in a primary or secondary battery. See Column 5, Lines 26-30.

With respect to claim 9, Takeuchi et al. teach the polymer electrolyte can be used in a double layer capacitor. See Column 5, Lines 31-35.

Page 5 of 7

Application/Control Number: 10/086,886

Art Unit: 1745

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 7 is allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The invention of independent claim 7 recites a method of dehydrating a pregel composition by subjecting the composition to azeotropic distillation in the presence of an entrainer. The moisture content of the pregel composition is lowered to not more than 1,000 ppm. The closest prior art of record, Takeuchi et al., does not teach or suggest the use of azeotropic distillation to reduce the moisture content in the pregel composition for an electrochemical device.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on January 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are

Assuming all the moisture in the electrolyte composition in Example 8 of Takeuchi et al. is derived from only the polymer, it is estimated that the moisture content of the polymer is about 129 ppm.

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

It is well known in the art that the moisture content in the material depends on the processing condition and the storage environment. It is unlikely that the moisture content of the materials processed in Example 8 can be reduced to zero when mixed in an argon atmosphere at

Application/Control Number: 10/086,886 Page 6 of 7

Art Unit: 1745

room temperature. Applicant does not provide any evidence to substantiate the presumption in determining the corresponding moisture content of the polymer compound. It is the position of the examiner, on the contrary, that the moisture content of the pregel composition is inherently below 100 ppm, given the moisture content of the electrolyte film is only of 30 ppm.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan March 14, 2004 Delive y